Shareholders' Meeting Voting Results

Seven Bank, Ltd. held its 11th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders on June 19, 2012. The results of shareholder voting at the meeting are detailed below.

(1) Date of shareholders' meeting

June 19, 2012

(2) Results of voting

Proposal 1: Election of ten Directors

Proposal to elect as Directors: Takashi Anzai, Masatoshi Wakasugi, Kensuke Futagoishi, Yasuaki Funatake, Kazuhiko Ishiguro, Taku Oizumi, Yoji Ohashi, Toshikazu Tamura, Yuko Miyazaki, and Tadahiko Ujiie Proposal 2: Revision to remuneration for Directors

Proposal 3: Revision to amount and details of stock acquisition rights issued as remuneration-based stock options to Directors

(3) Number of for, against, and abstain votes, approval criteria, and results for each proposal

	For	Against	Abstain	Result	
Item				Percent	Approved/
				For (%)	Rejected
Proposal 1: Election of					
ten Directors					
Takashi Anzai	10,190,185	94,711	0	97.14	Approved
Masatoshi Wakasugi	10,206,975	76,711	1,210	97.30	Approved
Kensuke Futagoishi	10,205,084	79,812	0	97.28	Approved
Yasuaki Funatake	10,207,279	76,407	1,210	97.30	Approved
Kazuhiko Ishiguro	10,207,216	76,470	1,210	97.30	Approved
Taku Oizumi	10,206,827	76,859	1,210	97.30	Approved
Yoji Ohashi	10,224,031	60,865	0	97.46	Approved
Toshikazu Tamura	9,742,604	541,082	1,210	92.87	Approved
Yuko Miyazaki	10,224,236	60,660	0	97.46	Approved
Tadahiko Ujiie	9,267,654	1,016,032	1,210	88.34	Approved
Proposal 2: Revision to	10,224,965	40,155	0	97.66	Approved
remuneration for Directors					

Proposal 3: Revision to					
amount and details of	8,575,299	1,234,935	474,917	81.74	Approved
stock acquisition rights					
issued as					
remuneration-based stock					
options to Directors					

Note: Approval criteria:

For Proposal 1 to be approved, required (a) shareholders representing at least one-third or more of voting rights held by shareholders able to exercise their voting rights to be in attendance at the meeting and (b) votes representing a majority of shareholders in attendance to be cast for the respective proposal.

For Proposal 2 and Proposal 3 to be approved, required votes representing a majority of shareholders in attendance and able to exercise their voting rights to be cast for the proposal.

(4) Reason some shareholder votes were not tallied

Upon the tallying proxy votes already received and a portion of votes from shareholders in attendance at the meeting, the approval criteria were met and the voting process was deemed valid in accord with the *Companies Act*. The remaining votes were therefore not tallied.